The effects of the chemical composition on the microstructure and mechanical properties were investigated using automated ball-indentation tests, scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray analysis. It was observed that the mechanical properties change with the presence of the eutectic and varying excess content of elements. In this work, the automated-ball-indentation (ABI) technique was compared with the standard mechanical tests. The ABI method is based on controlled multiple indentations into a polished surface by a spherical indenter under load. The indentation depth is progressively increased to the maximum, user-specified limit, with intermediate partial unloading. This technique allows to measure the yield strength, stress-strain curve, strength coefficient and strain-hardening exponent. For all these test materials and conditions, the ABI-derived results were in very good agreement with those obtained with the conventional, standard test methods.
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Vpliv kemijske sestave na mikrostrukturo in mehanske lastnosti je bil preiskovan z avtomatskim preizkusom vtiskovanja kroglice, z vrstniško elektronsko mikroskopijo in z energijsko disperzijsko rentgensko analizo. Opaženo je bilo, da se mehanske lastnosti spreminjajo s prisotnostjo evtektika in s spreminjanjem vsebnosti presežnih elementov. V prispevku je bila preverjena metoda avtomatskega vtiskanja kroglice (ABI) z običajnimi mehanskimi preizkusi. ABI metoda temelji na kontroliранih večkratnih vtiskih kroglastega vtiskača v polirano površino. Globina vtiskov progresivno narašča do maksimuma, z uporabnikom določene meje, s takojšnjim vmesno razbremenitvijo. Ta tehnika omogoča merjenje meje plastičnosti, krivulje napetost-raztezek, koeficienta trdnosti in eksponenta napetostnega utrjevanja. Za vse pogoje preizkušanja materiala so dobili ABI rezultati skladni z rezultati, dobiveni iz običajnih metod preizkušanja.

Ključne besede: Al-zlitine, mikrostruktura, mehanske lastnosti, ABI preizkus

1 INTRODUCTION

The growing demand for more fuel-efficient and ecological vehicles to reduce energy consumption and air pollution is a challenge for the automotive and aircraft industries. The characteristic properties of aluminum, high strength-to-weight ratio, good formability, good electrical mass conductivity, unique corrosion behavior and recycling potential make it the essential material for the applications such as fuel-efficient transportation vehicles, building construction, and food packaging.1

Si in Al-alloys improves the corrosion resistance of the alloys. An addition of Si to composites significantly affects the diffusion of Mg and Si in an Al liquid. Also, when Si is used together with Mg, they create heat-treatable alloys. An extra Si content in Al-Mg-Si-Si composites leads to an increase of the solidification range. The aspect ratio of the eutectics and the size of primary particles decrease with the increasing Si content in Al-Mg-Si composites.2,3

Mg in Al-alloys increases the weldability, improves the corrosion resistance and decreases the weight of alloys. An addition of Mg to aluminium alloys can increase the hardness and strength of the materials.4 An extra amount of Mg in the Al-Mg2Si system moves the eutectic point to a lower Mg2Si concentration. Several authors5–8 maintain that an excess of Mg in Al-Mg2Si alloys can promote the formation of primary Mg2Si. It also shows that increasing the Mg addition decreases the volume fraction of the α-Al matrix and increases the volume fraction of the Al-Mg2Si eutectic.

Fe is the most common impurity found in Al. Fe reduces the grain size of an alloy, but decreases its hardness and strength, and also increases the brittleness. Mn improves the corrosion resistance and decreases the negative effect of Fe.9,10
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The main objective of this paper is to investigate the influence of the chemical composition on the mechanical properties and structures of Al-alloys.

The determination of the mechanical properties of materials with non-conventional techniques has been an active area of research for a long time. Among some non-destructive methods for determining the mechanical properties of materials, a semi-destructive type of testing, called automated ball indentation (ABI), has been developed. The automated-ball-indentation technique is used to measure material properties when a tensile test cannot be applied: in welded parts with a continuous property variation, in brittle materials with an unstable crack growth during preparation and specimen testing, in samples with a high porosity and in the parts of the present structural use.\textsuperscript{11,12}

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The chemical compositions of the evaluated alloys are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1.

All the alloys were prepared in an electric-resistance furnace using graphite crucibles. High-purity Al (A99.997), AlMg50, AlSi25 and AlMn26 were used as master alloys. The melt with a temperature of 720 °C ± 5 °C was being degassed under an argon atmosphere for 10 min.

Hardness was measured using a Brinell-hardness testing machine (EMCOTEST M4C) with a ball diameter of 2.5 mm and a load of 62.5 kg; where the load time was 10 s. Microhardness tests were performed on a polished non-etched specimens using a LECO M-400-G1 microhardness tester, HV0.05 with the standard indentation time.

Tensile tests were performed using a testing machine (INSTRON 5582, USA) according to the EN ISO 6892-1 standard. Tensile samples were also prepared according to this standard.

Indentation tests were performed with a special device (patent CZ 304637 B1), which is capable, due to its design, of continuous recording of the load and indentation depth of the used indenter. The system includes a recording device, an analog-to-data converter, a PC with software, and an Instron 5582 tensile-testing machine as the force-producing mechanism. The maximum load indentation was 2.5 kN and the indenter diameter was 5 mm. Plane-parallel samples were used for the ABI testing.
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Microstructure investigation

Figure 2 presents the polished microstructures of the samples. The microstructures of all the samples consist of primary Al grains (the light areas) and the (Al)+(Mg2Si) eutectic (grey). The preferential morphology of α-Al is a globular-rosette morphology and Al grains are surrounded by eutectic colonies. The (Al)+(Mg2Si) eutectic has a lamellar morphology. Primary Mg2Si crystals have a regular polyhedral shape and are located in the centers of the eutectic colonies.

3.2 Element distribution in α-Al grains

The α-Al matrix of the Al-Mg-Si-Mn alloys contains Mg, Si and Mn. It is known that the solubility of Mg in Al amounts to 1.4 % and the solubility of Si is 0.4 % at room temperature. The microstructure of the Al-Mg-Si-Mn alloys contains Mg, Si and Mn. It is known that the solubility of Mg in Al amounts to 1.4 % and the solubility of Si is 0.4 % at room temperature.10

In the investigated alloys, the Mg and Si contents in a solid solution change with an alteration of the Mg/Si ratio in the alloys (Table 2). The stoichiometric composition of Mg2Si is 66.7 % of amount fractions of Mg and 33.3 % of amount fractions of Si (the Mg/Si at. ratio is 2.0, and its weight ratio is 1.73:1). The Mg content is considered to be in excess when the ratio is more than 2, and the Si content is in excess when the ratio is less than 2. For all the alloys, the Mn content in the -Al solid solution is ~0.5 % of mass fractions. The existence of an insignificant peak of oxygen in the EDX spectrum is explained with the tendency of Al and Mg silicides to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Mg/Si ratio</th>
<th>Chemical composition (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS1 (AC)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS1 (ST)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS1 (AA)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2 (AC)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2 (ST)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2 (AA)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3 (AC)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3 (ST)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>96.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3 (AA)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4 (AC)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4 (ST)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4 (AA)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS5 (AC)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS5 (ST)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>95.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS5 (AA)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>96.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AC – as cast state, ST – after solution treatment (570 °C, 60 min), AA – after artificial aging (at the point of maximum mechanical properties)
The solution treatment increases the concentration of Mg and decreases the concentration of Si (Table 2) in the solid solutions in the MS1 and MS2 alloys (the alloys with an excess Mg concentration). The artificial aging leads to a further reduction in the concentration of Si (it is connected with a small amount of Si in the alloys and the tendency of Mg to form the Mg2Si compound), but the concentration of Mg is back to the initial values.

The situation is different in the MS3, MS4, MS5 alloys. During the solution treatment, taking 60 min, the amount of Mg reduces and the amount of Si increases in these alloys. The increase in the concentration of Si in the solid solution is connected with the dissolution of Mn-containing phases. Aging does not significantly change the chemical composition of α-Al (Table 2).

### 3.3 Mn- and Si-containing phases

Due to a poor solubility, Fe with Si and Al in the Al-Mg-Si alloys constitute acicular-shaped intermetallic inclusions, which reduce the mechanical properties of the alloys. The investigated alloys are additionally doped by 0.6 % Mn to neutralize the negative effect of the Fe-containing phase. As it is shown by other studies, an addition of 0.6 % of mass fraction of Mn in the alloy with a nominal composition of Al-7Mg-3Si increases its mechanical properties. Thus, the tensile strength and yield strength of the alloy with the Mn addition increase on average by 30 %.

Some authors reported that in the alloy with a nominal composition of Al-7Mg-5Si (w%), the Al-Mg2Si eutectic and Al-Si eutectic are formed. However, the Al-Si eutectic was not detected in the alloy with a nominal composition of Al-7Mg-3Si-Mn (Figure 2f).

The first two types are found in the alloys with the ratio of Mg/Si greater than 2 (M3, MS1, MS2, MS3, M5). The first two types are found in the alloys with the ratio of Mg/Si greater than 2 (M3, MS1, MS2, MS3, M5). Some authors reported that in the alloy with a nominal composition of Al-7Mg-5Si (w%), the Al-Mg2Si eutectic and Al-Si eutectic are formed. However, the Al-Si eutectic was not detected in the alloy with a nominal composition of Al-7Mg-3Si-Mn (Figure 2f). Therefore, the excess Si with Mn and Fe form several types of the Mn-phase in the submitted alloys.

The morphologies of all the types of the Mn-containing phases observed in the MS-series are shown in Figure 2. These phases can be identified as Al5(Fe,Mn,Si), Al15(Fe,Mn,Si)3, Al5(Fe,Mn,Si). The first two types are found in the alloys with the ratio of Mg/Si greater than 2 (M3, MS1, MS2, MS3, M5). Phases β and δ are found in the alloys with the ratio of Mg/Si lower than 2 (MS4, MS5). The δ-phase is unstable and it disintegrates during the heat treatment.

### 3.4 Eutectic

The EDX spectra of the lamellas excluding Al from the quantification showed a composition very close to the stoichiometry of Mg2Si. The EDX spectra of interlamellar spacing show high concentrations of Mg and Si. With the increase in Mg and Si, the Al-Mg2Si eutectic volume fraction grows bigger.

With the addition of extra Mg into the Al-Mg2Si system, the eutectic point moves towards the corner with a lower Mg2Si concentration and the volume of the Al-Mg2Si eutectic increases.

With the addition of extra Si into the Al-Mg2Si alloys, the eutectic point moves to a higher Al concentration and the volume of the Al-Mg2Si eutectic increases. In the AlMg7Si5Mn alloy (with 1.5 % of mass fractions of excess Si and 0.6 % of mass fractions of Mn) the volume fraction of the Al-Mg2Si eutectic reaches its maximum.

### 3.5 Reproducibility of the results of classical tests and ABI tests

Figure 3 represents the dependencies of the indentation-depth load, recorded in the ABI study. They show how the material behaves in the research process, which consists of three parts: the load, the holding at the maximum force and the unloading. The growing part of the curve describes the process of loading. This process has elastic and elastic-plastic sections. Then, after the holding at the maximum force for 10 s, the unloading process begins. The waning part of the curve describes the process of unloading. The point where the curve intersects with the x-axis corresponds to the plastic indentation depth (h). The difference between the plastic depth and the depth of the maximum load (hmax) corresponds to the elastic depth (he).

For the results validation, the data obtained with the ABI method were compared with the data obtained with the classical methods (Table 3).

The hardness was calculated with Equation (1) and Equation (2) was used to determine the tensile strength (Rm):

$$ HB = \frac{P}{\pi D h} $$

$$ R_m = c \cdot HB $$

where: $ HB $ – Brinell hardness, $ P $ – load (kN) (Figure 3), $ D $ – diameter of indenter (mm), $ h $ – indentation depth (mm) (Figure 3), $ c $ – coefficient of uncertainty for the presented series of alloys with a value of 2.8.
The methodology from the reference\textsuperscript{20}, Equations (3) and (4), was used to determine the yield strength ($R_{p0.2}$):

$$R_{p0.2} = c \cdot HM = \frac{c \cdot P}{\pi a^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$a = \sqrt{2Dh - h^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where: $c$ – coefficient of uncertainty (2.8), $HM$ – Meyer hardness, $a$ – contact radius (mm).

The differences between the two curves given in the diagrams (Figure 3) may be related to the following parameters taken into account in Equation (1):

1. The difference in the value of the load ($P$), which leads to a change in the indentation depth.
2. The difference in the hardness of the materials ($HB$).

Figure 3 shows the indentation curves of the alloys with a difference in the amount of one component (Mg, Si, Mg$_2$Si). The results of the ABI tests are shown in Table 3.

The calculation of the standard deviation shows that the hardness value is determined with a sufficiently high accuracy. The average deviation is about 2–3 %. The tensile strength (defined by the HB values) has a good accuracy (its average deviation is 3–5 %).

The values of the average standard deviation of the yield strength are quite high (9–16 %), but in some tests the accuracy is 1 %. This can be explained in the following manner: the load, at which the deformation is detected in the track (0.2 %) is about 100 N and the measurement device determines the load with an accuracy of 24 N – 50 N. This is sufficient for the determination of the total hardness, but it is not enough for the determination of the hardness at the load of $P_{p0.2}$. It is planned to increase the accuracy of the determination of this and other parameters.

### 3.6 Influence of the chemical composition on the mechanical properties

As it can be seen from Table 3, the mechanical properties of the cast Al-Mg$_2$Si alloys do not increase with the growth of the Mg content (Figure 3b, alloys MS2 and MS9 – alloys with similar values of Mg$_2$Si and different values of Mg). Similar results of the Mg behavior in Al-alloys were obtained in another study.\textsuperscript{21} An analysis of the literature data\textsuperscript{3,13} showed that the mechanical properties of the Al-Mg$_2$Si-Si alloys with an increased amount of Si are improved. However, in these works the mechanical properties are given after the heat treatment of the alloys. In the considered series of alloys, extra Si with Mn forms a metastable acicular-shaped $\delta$-Al$_3$(Mn,Fe)Si$_2$ phase, which deteriorates the properties of the alloys in the as-cast state, and this phase dissolves during the homogenization process.

As can be seen in Table 3, the hardness and the tensile strength of the cast Al-Mg$_2$Si alloys can relate to the size and morphology of the eutectic and primary Mg$_2$Si phase (M3 and M59 – alloys with similar values of Mg and different values of Mg$_2$Si). Hence, the mechanical properties grow with the increasing volume fraction of Mg$_2$Si. Similar results were obtained in a reference study.\textsuperscript{6}

### 4 CONCLUSIONS

The comparison of the results obtained with classical and ABI methods shows the following:

- The differences in the values of the hardness and tensile strength, obtained with different methods, do not exceed 5 % (with the standard error for such measurements of 10 %).
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