INVESTIGATION OF THE STATIC ICING PROPERTY FOR SUPER-HYDROPHOBIC COATINGS ON ALUMINIUM
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1 INTRODUCTION

Icing is a natural phenomenon that occurs on the surfaces of objects in the bad conditions of low temperatures and freezing rain. An ice disaster is a serious natural disaster for a power system,1,2 especially for abnormal weather with the El Nino and La Nina phenomena, the ice disaster became more frequently. In the past, for a long time, de-icing methods were active, and external energy was required to deal with the icing on the surfaces.3,4 In recent years, anti-icing coatings that could improve the icing performance effectively received more attention, such as electric heating coatings, photo-thermal coatings and hydrophobic coatings. Two features are required for promising anti-icing materials. One is that overcooled water droplets can roll off from the surface easily before crystallization. The other, is that the ice adhesion will be weaker when the ice is accumulated on the surface.5 Super-hydrophobic coatings are promising materials due to the retardation and prevention of icing and have become a focus of study.6–16

The researches on super-hydrophobic materials for anti-icing are abundant; however, there are few reports about the process in detail of water condensing and then freezing to form ice on a cold super-hydrophobic surface.14,15 M. He et al.14 fabricated super-hydrophobic surfaces with isotactic polypropylene; the results showed that the micro- and nanometre structures led to a delay of the solidification of liquid water at the three phase line region. M. He et al.14 fabricated super-hydrophobic surfaces with ZnO nanorod arrays; the process of icing at different temperatures below the freezing point was studied.15 However, the effect on the mechanism is unclear and needs more systemic and deep research. Furthermore, there are few reports about super-hydrophobic aluminium used in a power transmission line. Aluminium has good thermal conductivity, so different phenomenon may occur in the process of icing. S. L. Zheng et al.17,18 fabricated super-hydrophobic aluminium coatings using the Electrochemical Corrosion Method. The adhesion strength of ice on coatings was researched, but the process of solidification of the droplets was not mentioned.

In this paper, super-hydrophobic coatings were fabricated using the Chemical Etching Method and a static icing system was developed to study the ice crystallization process of super-cooled water droplets on different coatings and different temperatures below the freezing point. The results are helpful to provide theoretical basis effectively for the design and fabrication of super-hydrophobic transmission aluminium conductors in the future.
2 EXPERIMENTAL PART

In order to fabricate samples with different hydrophobicities, a pre-treatment was necessary for the electrically pure aluminium. First, 1000 mesh sandpaper was used to polish the surface of the aluminium for the purpose of removing dense oxide layer, then using water and absolute ethyl alcohol to clean filth and grease on the surface by ultrasonic cleaning for 10 min. After pre-treatment, the aluminium can be used as a hydrophilic aluminium surface samples (A1). Using stearic acid to modify the aluminium surface, stearic acid is a kind of long-chain fatty acid and has a lower free energy. As shown in Figure 1, a dehydration reaction would happen between hydroxyl groups on the surface of the aluminium and the carboxyl group in stearic acid. Then a tight and thin coating with low free energy would be generated on the surface of the aluminium and hydrophilic aluminium surface samples (A2) could be obtained. As for super-hydrophilic aluminium surface samples, put the aluminium after pre-treatment to the 20% of mass fractions of hydrochloric acid to etch for 1 min, after drying put it in the 1% of mass fractions of stearic acid to modify the surface energy for 15 min, finally put the sample into the 90 °C oven to fabricate super-hydrophobic aluminium surface samples (A3).19

Figure 2 shows that static icing system was made up of a Peltier chip, the cooling fan, temperature sensors and a temperature controller. The Peltier chip (TEC-1206) was supplied with power by a 12V DC power supply, the temperature difference between cold end and hot end was 75 °C and the temperature on the cold end could be –20 °C. The microprocessor in the semiconductor refrigeration temperature controller (TTC-B) was used to control the DC power in order to realize the temperature control. The test of static icing characteristics was completed at room temperature. In order to compare the process of icing, three kinds of typical aluminium wire samples (A1, A2 and A3) were observed. Detailed test steps was as follows:

1) Setting the surrounding environment in a darkroom, the entire Peltier cooling system was placed in a simple studio, the illumination was backlit bright LED projection mode, and the Peltier chip temperature was kept constant by adjusting the parameters of the PID control system (–5 °C± 0.5 °C, –3 °C ± 0.5 °C and –1 °C ± 0.5 °C), and recorded both the ambient temperature and the humidity value;

2) Turning on the power of the Peltier cooling system. When the temperature of the surface was constant, we placed the samples on the Peltier surface, 15 μL water droplets were injected onto the samples’ surface rapidly by using a micro-syringe, and we recorded the solidification process of droplets using a digital camera.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3 shows the CA of droplets on 3 typical aluminium samples at room temperature. The CA on A1, A2 and A3 were 82°, 109° and 152°, respectively. Heat transfer happened between the droplets and the cold surface. Droplets placed on the surface of sample were liquid at first, and because of the results of differences of hydrophobicity, different contact states on three different surfaces were observed. As shown in Figure 3, the droplet on the surface of A1 was like a pan upside down, it had largest contact area; the droplet on the surface of A2 was like a semicircle, it had larger contact area and droplet on the surface of A3 was like a ball, it had the smallest contact area.

Figure 4 shows SEM images and 3D laser scanning of A3, it can be seen that A3 had many micron structure pits and protrusions, and the size of these pits and protrusions was about 3 μm. From Figure 4b and 4d, a rough structure similar with building blocks could be observed, so the pits and protrusions connected with each other. Besides, the micron pits and protrusions had submicron even nano-sized rough structure on their surfaces. This surface structure was easy to form super hydrophobicity with a water droplet.20

Figure 5 showed droplets’ solidification process on samples (surface temperature is –3 °C). Droplets on the surface of samples were liquid at first, as the time pro-
londed, gas/liquid/solid three phase contact line between droplet and surface of sample expanded, and the contact area between the droplet and the surface increased, which meant the CA decreasing as the time prolonged. A phase transition occurred in the droplets because of the heat transfer between the cold surfaces and the droplets, which meant the transition from liquid to solid for the droplets. Also shown was the process of solidification after the phase transition, a clear liquid/solid line could be observed and this line grew up from bottom to the top. Under the liquid/solid line, the droplets were already frozen ice. Above the line, the droplets were still liquid. The liquid/solid line grew up at different speeds on three typical aluminium surfaces; the line grew up quickly on the A1 and A2 but relatively slowly on the A3. The line went up to the top of the droplet, and finally, a peak on the top of droplet was generated, which meant the end of solidification process.

Figure 5 showed the phase transition time on different surfaces. The phase transition starting times for A1, A2 and A3 were 20 s, 34 s and 348 s, respectively. The phase-transition time on A3 was much longer than the other two surfaces. The solidification time for total droplet for A1, A2 and A3 were 38 s, 49 s and 404 s, respectively, the solidification time increased with increasing CA. The solidification time of the droplet for A3 was 10.6 times that of for A1 and 8.2 times that of for A2. Therefore, the solidification process of water
The results show that the CA directly affects the freezing process of the droplets. For A1 and A2, the contact states between droplets and surfaces were the Wenzel state. The contact angle on A2 was larger than on A1, so the contact area between the droplet and A2 was smaller. For A3, the contact state of ball-like droplet made the smallest contact area. It was known that the heat-transfer rate was related to the contact area, so the solidification time on A3 was the longest. At the same time, the vertical height of the droplet on A3 was greater, which meant more distance and time should be required for the solidification process from the bottom to the top of the droplet, therefore, the solidification time on A3 was the longest. On the other hand, for A3, the contact state was the Cassie state. There were a lot of air films in the contact interface and the air films played a role of energy barrier, which greatly delayed the heat exchange process between the droplets and surfaces, so the solidification time of droplets for A3 surface was also much longer than the other two surfaces.

After solidification, the top of the droplet would form a peak, and there were two main reasons for this: one was that the volume of droplets increased from liquid to solid; the other was that the droplet contained some of air, the dissolved air would form bubbles and released out of droplet during the process of phase transition and solidification. However, the peak of the droplet on the super-hydrophobic surface was more acute, which indicated that there was other air in the droplet besides the dissolved air, the explanation for this phenomenon could be the involvement of an air film between the droplet and the surface of the super-hydrophobic aluminium sample. Results might indirectly prove that the contact state of the droplet and the super-hydrophobic aluminium surface was in the Cassie contact state.

Figure 6 shows the relationship between the solidification time and surface temperature (the data of solidification time was average data of five experiments). When the surface temperature was –1 °C, the solidification times for A1, A2 and A3 were 41.7 s, 50.7 s and 803.7 s, respectively. When the surface temperature of the samples was –3 °C, the solidification time for A1, A2 and A3 were 36 s, 47.7 s and 390 s respectively. When the surface temperature was –5 °C, the data were 31.7 s, 35 s and 186 s, respectively. From Figure 6 it can be seen that with the reduction of surface temperature, the solidification time of the droplets on three typical samples should decrease. This was because of the increase of the energy exchange speed and the decrease of nucleation barrier of surfaces with decreasing surface temperature. At different surface temperatures the solidification time of the droplets on A3 was much longer than A1 and A2, which showed the excellent static anti-icing performance of A3. When the surface temperatures were –1 °C, –3 °C and –5 °C, the droplets’ solidification times on A3 were 803.7 s, 390.0 s and 186.0 s, respectively. With a decreasing surface temperature, the solidification time of the droplets on A3 fell more rapidly than the other two typical surfaces. Due to the instability of the air film between the droplets and surface, the contact state change from the Cassie state to the Wenzel state could become easier for A3, with temperature decreasing (Figure 5c) for 0 s and 5 min 17 s.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the super-hydrophobic coatings on aluminium (CA=152°) were fabricated by Chemical Etching and Modification Method. For the super-hydrophobic surface, the solidification and phase-change time of the droplets were much longer than the hydrophilic aluminium surface and the hydrophobic aluminium surface. This was because for the super-hydrophobic aluminium surfaces the freezing process could be retarded and prevented by both smaller contact area and air film between droplet and surface (Cassie contact state), which influenced the thermal exchange between the droplet and the surface.

Due to that the air film latched by the droplet on the super-hydrophobic surface was not stable, with the temperature decreasing, the droplet transited from the Cassie contact state to the Wenzel contact state more easily.
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